

Noise considerations of the Long Term Operating Plan

All proposals for change in terminal area and air route arrangements in Australia are subject to environmental assessment in accordance with Airservices Australia's statutory obligations. These relate principally to two pieces of legislation; the *Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act 1974* (the EP(IP) Act) and the *Air Services Act 1995*.

In accordance with the provisions of these Acts, Airservices Australia, in consultation with the Environment Working Group of the Sydney Air Traffic Management Task Force, undertook an environmental evaluation of the proposed changes involved in the development of the long term operating plan for Sydney Airport. This assessment focused on the primary environmental impact, namely, aircraft noise.

Environmental assessments were made of each of the proposed flight tracks associated with each mode and also of the overall impact of the most likely combination of modes.

Single track analyses provided data on the number of people exposed to maximum noise levels greater than 70 dB(A) from departing and arriving aircraft of each of the main jet and non-jet types. Comparisons were then made of the impact of alternative flight tracks and the environmentally preferred tracks identified.

An Australian Noise Exposure Concept (ANEC) chart is a map showing hypothetical forecast contours of aircraft noise exposure around an airport. In contrast to single noise event contours, the ANEC noise exposure contours represent the average noise exposure from an average day's aircraft movements, the average being over a twelve-month period.

The likely impact of the overall preferred combination of modes was determined using the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) modelling system. The Integrated Noise Model (INM) was run for two scenarios involving the preferred modes and the results, average noise exposure levels, were compared with pre-parallel and parallel operations. Australian Noise Exposure Concept (ANEC) contour plots provided an indication of the number of people exposed to specific levels of aircraft noise under each scenario. In this case, the model served as a useful tool for selecting the environmentally preferred modes. It also provided data on the spread and balance of the impact between communities in different localities.

During the public consultative process, explanation for the use of the 70 dB(A) contour data was frequently requested. It is appropriate that this information be included in this report. The 70 dB(A) level contour was chosen because it represents an external sound level which should cause no difficulty with reliable communication from radio, TV, or conversational speech in a typical

room with windows open (Sydney Draft Noise Management Plan, Volume 2, p. 6.13). It is also the level which equates with windows open to an indoor sound level of 60 dB(A), the indoor design sound level which when heard inside a normal domestic living room by the average listener will not be judged as intrusive or annoying (Australian Standard AS 2021—1994). It also equates, when the windows are closed, to an indoor level of about 45 to 50 dB(A) which does not exceed the indoor design sound level considered acceptable for relaxing or sleeping areas (Australian Standard AS 2021—1994).

Results

Single Track Analysis

The 70 dB(A)max noise level contour for each of the five representative types of aircraft is illustrated for each track in the discussion of the alternative operating modes (refer Chapter 4).

It should be noted that the contour is a line joining points experiencing a maximum sound level of 70 dB(A) and represents the outer edge of the exposure area. Points within the contours will experience noise greater than 70 dB(A). Outside the 70 dB(A) contour noise will still be heard since 70 dB(A) is not the limit of audibility.

The numbers of people falling within the 70 dB(A)max contour for each track for the worst case (normally the B747-200 or B747-400, or, for runway 34R, B767) are given in Figure 3 and Figure 4, which appear on the following two pages.

Numbers of people within the 70dB(a) single event noise contour for 747-200 aircraft at Sydney Airport

Runway	Operation	Direction	Mode	People >=70dB(a)	End suburb		
07	Dep	N		105800	Over water		
		NE		158000	Over water		
		E		105800	Over water		
		S		105800	Over water		
		W		105800	Over water		
			NW		105800	Over water	
	Arr	All		72600	Royal Nat Pk		
25	Dep	N		234600	Belrose		
		E		273500	Middle Harbour		
		S		180200	Heathcote Nat Pk		
		W		241300	Horsley Pk		
				NW		282500	Toongabbie
			NW 1		234400	Parklea	
	Arr	All		44200	Over water		
16L	Dep	N		5800	Over water		
		E		5800	Over water		
		S		5800	Over water		
		S	3	5800	Over water		
		W	3	5800	Over water		
		NW	3	5800	Over water		
(B767)	Arr	ILS		32000	Hunters Hill		
(B767)		Trident		35100	Waverton		
16R	Dep	S		4000	Over water		
		W		4000	Over water		
		NW		4000	Over water		
		N	2	40200	Over water		
		E	2	40200	Over water		
		S	2	40400	Over water		
		W	2	40400	Heathcote Nat Pk		
		NW	2	40400	Heathcote Nat Pk		
		N	3	4000	Over water		
		E	3	4000	Over water		
				ILS		83300	Turramurra
				Trident		105900	Beecroft
		34R (B767)	Dep	N		64600	Over water
NE				86600	Over water		
E				64600	Over water		
S				64600	Over water		
(B767)	Arr	All		700	Over water		
34L	Dep	N		238000	Ku-Ring-Gai Chase Nat Pk		
		E		244200	Cromer		
		S		270200	Royal Nat Pk		
		W		259200	Horsley Pk		
				NW		252400	Kellyville
	Arr	All		700	Over water		

Figure 3

Combined total of people within the 70dB(A) contour for each runway.

Runway	Operation	People >=70dB(a)
07	Dep	223200
	Arr	72600
25	Dep	787200
	Arr	44200
16L	Dep	5800
(B767)	Arr	46000
16R	Dep	4000
	Arr	134400
16R Mode 2	Dep	40400
34L	Dep	606300
	Arr	700
34R (B767)	Dep	127200
(B767)	Arr	700

Figure 4

Notes for Figures 3 and 4:

1. Mode is noted only where a track is for a specific mode.
2. B747 aircraft do not depart from Runway 34R, therefore the number of people within the 70dB(A) contour for B767 aircraft is shown.
3. For Arrivals, 'All' indicates arrivals from all directions are established on a straight approach before the beginning of the contour. 'ILS' and 'Trident' show whether the contour is straight down the ILS or down one arm of the Trident approach path.
4. Directions:
 N = North
 NE = North East, then North
 E = East
 W = West (Katoomba)
 NW = North West (Richmond)
 NW1 (for Runway 25 Departures) = Immediate turn and track direct to Richmond from the runway end.
5. All numbers of people within the 70dB(A) contour are to the nearest 100.
6. People within the 70dB(A) contour are exposed to noise of EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 70dB(A) max.

Single track analyses indicate that under the new operating arrangements the amount of traffic over most highly exposed residential areas will be much reduced, particularly in the parallel runway operations. However, a substantial number of people will experience aircraft noise greater than 70 dB(A)max.

There is not much scope to spread arriving aircraft flight tracks, particularly close to the airport, but in sensitive areas some distribution of approach paths further out is possible. On the other hand, in relation to departures, a large number of alternative tracks have been developed and these are instrumental in achieving successful noise distribution.

ANEC

ANECs were produced for two scenarios using movement data from 1995. ANEC 1 was the first concept of the long term operating model for Sydney Airport and took into account a selection of modes in accordance with operational requirements and the need to achieve a balance in the distribution of noise between communities as well as a minimum environmental impact. ANEC 2 is a refinement of that model and represents an attempt by Airservices Australia to achieve a better balance in noise distribution. Further evaluation of the environmental impact of the operational arrangements assumed for ANEC 2 in terms of noise sharing is required.

ANEC 1, and 2 are shown in figures 5 and 6 and the ANEI contours for 1993 and 1995 are shown in figures 7 and 8 respectively.

However, in terms of the analysis of the ANEC itself, a comparison of the number of people within the 20, 25, and 30 ANEC contours with those in the same contours prior to the opening of the parallel runway (1993) and during full parallel runway operation (1995) shows that the very significant extension of the contour to the north and the corresponding contraction of the eastern and western arms as a consequence of the introduction of parallel runways (and supporting noise abatement procedures) in late 1994 would be largely reversed by the new operating arrangements. The numbers of people are broken down by Postcode (and representative suburb) and a comparison given in Figure 9. The population data is taken from the 1991 Census, the most current at the time. The estimated number of people within the respective contours for the 1993 Sydney ANEI is also shown for comparison.

Figures 5 to 9 appear on the following five pages.



ROYAL NATIONAL PARK



ROYAL NATIONAL PARK



TABLE 1
ELEVATIONS IN METERS

Spot	Spot	Spot	Spot
1000	1001	1002	1003
1004	1005	1006	1007
1008	1009	1010	1011
1012	1013	1014	1015
1016	1017	1018	1019
1020	1021	1022	1023
1024	1025	1026	1027
1028	1029	1030	1031
1032	1033	1034	1035
1036	1037	1038	1039
1040	1041	1042	1043
1044	1045	1046	1047
1048	1049	1050	1051
1052	1053	1054	1055
1056	1057	1058	1059
1060	1061	1062	1063
1064	1065	1066	1067
1068	1069	1070	1071
1072	1073	1074	1075
1076	1077	1078	1079
1080	1081	1082	1083
1084	1085	1086	1087
1088	1089	1090	1091
1092	1093	1094	1095
1096	1097	1098	1099
1100	1101	1102	1103
1104	1105	1106	1107
1108	1109	1110	1111
1112	1113	1114	1115
1116	1117	1118	1119
1120	1121	1122	1123
1124	1125	1126	1127
1128	1129	1130	1131
1132	1133	1134	1135
1136	1137	1138	1139
1140	1141	1142	1143
1144	1145	1146	1147
1148	1149	1150	1151
1152	1153	1154	1155
1156	1157	1158	1159
1160	1161	1162	1163
1164	1165	1166	1167
1168	1169	1170	1171
1172	1173	1174	1175
1176	1177	1178	1179
1180	1181	1182	1183
1184	1185	1186	1187
1188	1189	1190	1191
1192	1193	1194	1195
1196	1197	1198	1199
1200	1201	1202	1203
1204	1205	1206	1207
1208	1209	1210	1211
1212	1213	1214	1215
1216	1217	1218	1219
1220	1221	1222	1223
1224	1225	1226	1227
1228	1229	1230	1231
1232	1233	1234	1235
1236	1237	1238	1239
1240	1241	1242	1243
1244	1245	1246	1247
1248	1249	1250	1251
1252	1253	1254	1255
1256	1257	1258	1259
1260	1261	1262	1263
1264	1265	1266	1267
1268	1269	1270	1271
1272	1273	1274	1275
1276	1277	1278	1279
1280	1281	1282	1283
1284	1285	1286	1287
1288	1289	1290	1291
1292	1293	1294	1295
1296	1297	1298	1299
1300	1301	1302	1303
1304	1305	1306	1307
1308	1309	1310	1311
1312	1313	1314	1315
1316	1317	1318	1319
1320	1321	1322	1323
1324	1325	1326	1327
1328	1329	1330	1331
1332	1333	1334	1335
1336	1337	1338	1339
1340	1341	1342	1343
1344	1345	1346	1347
1348	1349	1350	1351
1352	1353	1354	1355
1356	1357	1358	1359
1360	1361	1362	1363
1364	1365	1366	1367
1368	1369	1370	1371
1372	1373	1374	1375
1376	1377	1378	1379
1380	1381	1382	1383
1384	1385	1386	1387
1388	1389	1390	1391
1392	1393	1394	1395
1396	1397	1398	1399
1400	1401	1402	1403
1404	1405	1406	1407
1408	1409	1410	1411
1412	1413	1414	1415
1416	1417	1418	1419
1420	1421	1422	1423
1424	1425	1426	1427
1428	1429	1430	1431
1432	1433	1434	1435
1436	1437	1438	1439
1440	1441	1442	1443
1444	1445	1446	1447
1448	1449	1450	1451
1452	1453	1454	1455
1456	1457	1458	1459
1460	1461	1462	1463
1464	1465	1466	1467
1468	1469	1470	1471
1472	1473	1474	1475
1476	1477	1478	1479
1480	1481	1482	1483
1484	1485	1486	1487
1488	1489	1490	1491
1492	1493	1494	1495
1496	1497	1498	1499
1500	1501	1502	1503
1504	1505	1506	1507
1508	1509	1510	1511
1512	1513	1514	1515
1516	1517	1518	1519
1520	1521	1522	1523
1524	1525	1526	1527
1528	1529	1530	1531
1532	1533	1534	1535
1536	1537	1538	1539
1540	1541	1542	1543
1544	1545	1546	1547
1548	1549	1550	1551
1552	1553	1554	1555
1556	1557	1558	1559
1560	1561	1562	1563
1564	1565	1566	1567
1568	1569	1570	1571
1572	1573	1574	1575
1576	1577	1578	1579
1580	1581	1582	1583
1584	1585	1586	1587
1588	1589	1590	1591
1592	1593	1594	1595
1596	1597	1598	1599
1600	1601	1602	1603
1604	1605	1606	1607
1608	1609	1610	1611
1612	1613	1614	1615
1616	1617	1618	1619
1620	1621	1622	1623
1624	1625	1626	1627
1628	1629	1630	1631
1632	1633	1634	1635
1636	1637	1638	1639
1640	1641	1642	1643
1644	1645	1646	1647
1648	1649	1650	1651
1652	1653	1654	1655
1656	1657	1658	1659
1660	1661	1662	1663
1664	1665	1666	1667
1668	1669	1670	1671
1672	1673	1674	1675
1676	1677	1678	1679
1680	1681	1682	1683
1684	1685	1686	1687
1688	1689	1690	1691
1692	1693	1694	1695
1696	1697	1698	1699
1700	1701	1702	1703
1704	1705	1706	1707
1708	1709	1710	1711
1712	1713	1714	1715
1716	1717	1718	1719
1720	1721	1722	1723
1724	1725	1726	1727
1728	1729	1730	1731
1732	1733	1734	1735
1736	1737	1738	1739
1740	1741	1742	1743
1744	1745	1746	1747
1748	1749	1750	1751
1752	1753	1754	1755
1756	1757	1758	1759
1760	1761	1762	1763
1764	1765	1766	1767
1768	1769	1770	1771
1772	1773	1774	1775
1776	1777	1778	1779
1780	1781	1782	1783
1784	1785	1786	1787
1788	1789	1790	1791
1792	1793	1794	1795
1796	1797	1798	1799
1800	1801	1802	1803
1804	1805	1806	1807
1808	1809	1810	1811
1812	1813	1814	1815
1816	1817	1818	1819
1820	1821	1822	1823
1824	1825	1826	1827
1828	1829	1830	1831
1832	1833	1834	1835
1836	1837	1838	1839
1840	1841	1842	1843
1844	1845	1846	1847
1848	1849	1850	1851
1852	1853	1854	1855
1856	1857	1858	1859
1860	1861	1862	1863
1864	1865	1866	1867
1868	1869	1870	1871
1872	1873	1874	1875
1876	1877	1878	1879
1880	1881	1882	1883
1884	1885	1886	1887
1888	1889	1890	1891
1892	1893	1894	1895
1896	1897	1898	1899
1900	1901	1902	1903
1904	1905	1906	1907
1908	1909	1910	1911
1912	1913	1914	1915
1916	1917	1918	1919
1920	1921	1922	1923
1924	1925	1926	1927
1928	1929	1930	1931
1932	1933	1934	1935
1936	1937	1938	1939
1940	1941	1942	1943
1944	1945	1946	1947
1948	1949	1950	1951
1952	1953	1954	1955
1956	1957	1958	1959
1960	1961	1962	1963
1964	1965	1966	1967
1968	1969	1970	1971
1972	1973	1974	1975
1976	1977	1978	1979
1980	1981	1982	1983
1984	1985	1986	1987
1988	1989	1990	1991
1992	1993	1994	1995
1996	1997	1998	1999
2000	2001	2002	2003
2004	2005	2006	2007
2008	2009	2010	2011
2012	2013	2014	2015
2016	2017	2018	2019
2020	2021	2022	2023
2024	2025	2026	2027
2028	2029	2030	2031
2032	2033	2034	2035

Number of People Within Area of Indicated ANEF Value (By Postcode Area)

Postcode	Suburb	1993 ANEI (N350)			1995 ANEI (N377a)			ANEC1 (N382)			ANEC2 (N385)		
		>=30	>=25	>=20	>=30	>=25	>=20	>=30	>=25	>=20	>=30	>=25	>=20
North of Airport													
2038	Annandale	0	370	1320	230	1420	3980	0	120	800	0	0	420
2015	Beaconsfield	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	40	0	0	40
2050	Camperdown	0	0	0	0	0	1180	0	0	0	0	0	0
2047	Drummoyne	0	0	4110	0	100	7860	0	0	490	0	0	0
2203	Duhich Hill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6320	0	0	5670
2043	Erskineville	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0
2110	Hunters Hill	0	0	0	0	0	1760	0	0	0	0	0	0
2066	Lane Cove	0	0	0	0	0	720	0	0	0	0	0	0
2040	Leichhardt	0	3790	9910	1400	8260	13650	0	1970	8520	0	0	4930
2204	Marrickville	590	3730	5730	2940	4880	7420	370	9670	18600	140	5810	16900
2042	Newtown	0	0	880	20	1240	8110	0	0	740	0	0	460
2049	Petersham, Lewisham	0	2140	3690	920	2350	4870	0	1930	11050	0	150	7480
2039	Rozelle	0	0	0	0	0	500	0	0	0	0	0	0
2048	Stanmore	0	2820	5150	2750	5430	5960	0	1870	4650	0	0	3460
2130	Summer Hill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3130	0	0	2640
2044	Sydenham	1360	2880	5570	2220	4450	7300	2460	4390	7440	1370	3820	7270
	North Total	1950	15730	36360	10480	28130	63420	2830	19950	61780	1510	9780	48270
East of Airport													
2019	Banksmeadow	210	590	1720	210	470	1400	390	720	3070	390	800	3380
2034	Coogee	0	0	7220	0	0	0	0	0	310	0	0	1450
2018	Eastlakes (Rosebery)	450	1380	2480	0	0	150	30	1920	11720	30	1590	11830
2033	Kensington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0
2032	Kingsford	0	1460	5670	0	0	0	0	0	4410	0	0	4190
2035	Maroubra	0	1100	5110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
2020	Mascot	660	1990	4110	120	450	1320	310	5490	7240	270	5530	7580
2036	Matraville	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2031	Randwick	0	0	2820	0	0	0	0	0	1980	0	0	2450
	East Total	1320	6520	29180	330	920	2870	730	8130	28760	690	7920	30900
South of Airport													
2231	Kurnell	0	0	110	0	0	870	0	0	900	0	70	930
	South Total	0	0	110	0	0	870	0	0	900	0	70	930
West of Airport													
2205	Arncliffe	670	1080	5050	150	230	790	710	1340	6680	780	3170	11760
2207	Bexley, Bardwell Park	80	4950	9740	0	0	0	0	2600	9880	0	2760	10290
2206	Earwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	600
2220	Hurstville	0	0	5540	0	0	0	0	0	2130	0	0	3080
2223	Mortdale	0	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2222	Penshurst	0	0	1670	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
2216	Rockdale, Banksia	2260	6280	9250	0	0	430	1070	6650	10600	960	6400	10010
	West Total	3010	12310	31380	150	230	1220	1780	10590	29290	1740	12330	35760
	TOTALS:	6280	34560	97030	10960	29280	68380	5340	38670	120730	3940	30100	116860
<p>Note 1: Number of people within contours includes figures from higher level contours</p> <p>Note 2: Numbers have been rounded to the nearest 10 (Data from the 1991 Census)</p> <p>Note 3: All suburbs in the postcode area are included though only one or two are listed</p>													

In 1995 about 50 per cent of aircraft operations were over northern suburbs. Under the proposed operating arrangements, the initial goal is for movements off runways to the north, east and west to be about 15 ±2%. Consistent with this, both ANEC 1 and ANEC 2 show a contraction of the northern contours in relation to those for the 1995 ANEI and an approximate equal distribution of contour areas to the north, east and west.

The number of people within the 20, 25 and 30 ANEC contours is shown by Postcode Area in Table Figure 9. This table also shows that the number of people affected increases in the 20 ANEC contour but reduces significantly in the higher noise level contours. Thus, in 1995 there were some 10,960 people in the equal to or greater than 30 ANEI contour and 68,380 in the equal to or greater than 20 ANEI contour compared with 3940 and 116,860 respectively in ANEC2. This is very clear evidence that the noise impact in high noise areas has been dissipated and that there is now a much greater sharing of the noise burden.

The ANEC analysis results also indicate that the total number of people exposed to noise greater than 20 ANEC under the ANEC 2 scenario, 116,860, is slightly less than under ANEC 1 scenario, 120,730. This is due mainly to slight increases in the percentage of movements for modes involving overwater operations factored into the model. However, both are significantly higher than the number of people exposed to this level of noise in 1995, some 68,380. This result is consistent with the sharing of noise impacts. However, it does show that the redirection to over water modes of even small percentages of movements produces worthwhile benefits.

As the noise generated by arriving aircraft is concentrated into a long thin corridor while that from departing aircraft is spread into shorter broader bands, the effective spreading of noise relies mostly on the spread of departing traffic. The use of multiple departure tracks is a feature of the new operating design. The ANECs reflect this with the main arms of the contours directly attributable to arrivals and the broader spurs between the axes due to departure tracks. Suburbs to the north now clear of the 20 ANEC contour include those in Postcodes 2050 (e.g. Camperdown), 2047 (e.g. Drummoyne), 2110 (e.g. Hunters Hill), 2066 (e.g. Lane Cove), 2043 (e.g. Erskinville) and 2039 (e.g. Rozelle). Suburbs now within the 20 ANEC contour in ANEC 2 but not within this contour in the 1995 ANEI include those in Postcode 2203 (e.g. Dulwich Hill) and 2130 (e.g. Summer Hill) to the north; 2034 (e.g. Coogee), 2032 (e.g. Kingsford), 2035 (e.g. Maroubra) and 2031 (e.g. Randwick) to the east; and 2207 (e.g. Bexley), 2206 (e.g. Earlwood), 2222 (e.g. Penshurst) and 2220 (e.g. Hurstville) to the west.

In moving from 1995 parallel operations to the new long term proposal, the suburbs that will show the biggest increase in the number of people exposed to aircraft noise greater than ANEC 20 will be those in the Postcodes mentioned above who were outside the 20 ANEI contour in 1995, as well as those in

Postcodes 2204 (Marrickville) 16,900 (previously 7420); 2018 (Eastlakes) 11,830 (previously 150); 2020 (Mascot) 7580 (previously 1320); 2205 (Arncliffe) 11,760 (previously 790); 2019 (Banksmeadow) 3380 (previously 1400) and 2216 (Rockdale) 10,010 (previously 430).

ANEC analysis results indicate that the new flight path arrangements produce a contour pattern which is not dissimilar from that which applied in pre-parallel runway conditions but with some additional coverage of areas between the axes. They do so without losing the advantage of the extra capacity provided by the third runway.

The use of full length departures for jet aircraft on runway 34L enables many aircraft to gain substantial height and to be able to turn while still close to the airport. This also produces some reduction in the noise at ground level and also facilitates the spreading of noise.

To achieve maximum benefits, specific noise abatement departure procedures are necessary. Two alternative departure procedures have been standardised by ICAO. These are referred to as ICAO 'A' and ICAO 'B'. In both procedures, the departing aircraft is configured for a normal take-off pursuant to the aircraft weight and weather conditions. However, at an appropriate altitude, depending upon the procedure (1500 feet for 'A'; 1000 feet for 'B') the configuration of the aircraft is adjusted. This combines both power and flap changes which in turn affect the flight characteristics of the aircraft.

Given that this issue was raised during the public consultative process it would be appropriate to refer it to the Implementation and Monitoring Committee managing community consultation during the implementation process.

Noise Distribution and Monitoring

As well as being a powerful prediction tool, the ANEF system can also be used for monitoring. In this case, Australian Noise Exposure Index (ANEI) contours can be produced from historical data. It is intended to prepare ANEI on a quarterly (and cumulative—up to 12 months) basis following implementation of the long term operating plan and for the results to be used to guide subsequent operational practices.

The Task Force considered a wide range of inputs and parameters that might be used to monitor the equidistribution of noise. The consensus view was that the system had to be simple, easily understood and accessible by the community. While at the same time there is a need to provide sufficient information for there to be community confidence in the monitoring process.

To achieve this there has to be an agreed understanding of what is meant by fair and equitable. The task force environment working group was not able to identify a single criterion to demonstrate equity but it was able to identify a number of considerations which together, and in balance, could be considered to constitute the basis for a fair and reasonable distribution of noise.

These were:

Average Noise Exposure

The average noise exposure levels for different community groups provide a basis for comparing their exposure levels. In this regard, the ANEF System is regarded as the best available and is directly referred to in the Australian Standard AS 2021.

Noise Level and Duration of Exposure

The type of aircraft, type of operation (arrival or departure) and height of overflight are all reflected in noise level. These may be considered collectively and put into a time of duration context as a 'Time Above' noise metric such as T70, i.e. Time Above 70 dB(A)max.

Respite

The concept of respite arises from a recognition of the need to provide 'quiet' periods for those affected by noise and to balance these between communities, preferably with periods having no aircraft at all.

Number of Overflights

The number of each type of aircraft, both jets and propeller aircraft, is an important factor in considering disturbance levels. When associated with noise levels a noise metric such as N70 i.e. number of noise events above 70 dB(A)max may be used.

Time of the Day/Night

Disturbance during or near sleeping hours outside curfew hours is a source of particular annoyance and should be minimised by use of over water operations and otherwise distributed.

Non-reciprocal Flights

A single population should not generally be subject to both arriving and departing traffic.

Once the new airport operating and flightpath arrangements are in place it will be necessary to apply the above discussed noise sharing indices to assess the extent to which the noise is being shared. When applying the noise sharing assessment indices there will be apparent inconsistencies and it is very likely that what may appear to represent fair sharing using one of the indices may present a different picture when one of the other indices is applied.

There is agreement that there be a system of determining noise levels at various locations throughout the metropolitan area to supplement operational data on runway use and modes and time of operation. Actual flight track data will be available from the NFPMS and it is intended that the INM be used on a periodic basis to calculate representative noise metrics (selected from the criteria mentioned above) at two circular arrays of points centred on the

airport. The points would be at, for example, 45° increments around the circle, and the radius of the two arrays could be 4 and 8 kilometres (or as otherwise decided). The period for calculation would be set at say quarterly or annual intervals.

It is also proposed that this data be supplemented with appropriate graphical presentations, including track density plots which will show the level of air traffic activity over the inner areas of Sydney.

A network of 12 permanent noise monitoring terminals is located around Sydney Airport. It is intended these be used to validate noise exposure data produced by other means. The location of these terminals will be reviewed to ensure they are appropriately placed. Portable monitors will also be employed on a short term basis at other locations where direct noise level data is required.

Imbalances in noise distribution detected through the monitoring process are expected to be redressed in the subsequent quarter, subject only to prevailing weather conditions. In this regard, it is expected that the monitoring function will include and a review of runway usage in relation to runway availability.

Reporting to the community on noise issues will occur through the general processes established for monitoring the overall plan.

Runway selection procedures for noise sharing

Set out below are the procedures for Runway selection Airservices Australia propose be introduced to maximise movements over water and distribute the remaining noise as equitably as possible between suburbs to the East, North East, North, North West, West and Southwest. These procedures will facilitate the optimum initialisation of the runway Modes to achieve the above objectives.

The two fundamental factors which will influence the selection of runway for use outside the curfew period are forecast or prevailing weather and traffic levels including the balance between arrivals and departures. Following Task Force deliberations, Airservices Australia sought to provide a range of runway options which provided alternatives to achieve noise sharing and respite, suitable for use in varying weather and traffic conditions.

The proposed utilisation of the 9 initial runway Modes is depicted in the pie chart at Figure 10 which gives the expected range of use of each Mode in the operating plan. The ANEC 2 contour on page xx is based on traffic levels falling within the range for the individual Modes depicted in the pie chart. For comparison purposes, Figure 11 depicts the actual utilisation of runway Modes during parallel runway operations in 1995.




Figure 10




Figure 11

To achieve the runway utilisation distribution depicted in the pie chart, it is proposed that a hierarchy of available runway combinations be used throughout each day. Diurnal variation and annual cyclical weather patterns will give some variation to the runway in use. At any time that weather conditions permit and traffic levels can be sustained Mode 4, or Runway 16L Departures/Runway 34 L Arrivals, will be the nominated runway.

For any period, be it a day or part thereof, either a northerly or a southerly weather pattern will be evident. The runway options available in a southerly direction are Runway 16L&R for departures with arrivals on either Runways 07, 25 or 16L&16R. Options available in a northerly direction are Runways 34L&R or Runway 25 with arrivals on Runways 34L&R

It is proposed that the current bias towards operations in a southerly direction be removed and that runways be selected on the basis of a prevailing headwind component except where the runway for use is Mode 4, Runway 16L departures and Runway 34L for arrivals. Whilst this is proposed as the criterion for runway selection, the 5 knot tailwind tolerance should be retained to enable a smooth, well managed transition from a runway combination already in use.

The anticipation and planning of a runway change is critical for the maintenance of a safe and efficient airways system. As the tailwind component on a runway increases, the suitability of that runway may become marginal, leading to aircraft crews specifying the need for a more in-to-wind runway, This is particularly the case for Runways 07/25 and 16L/34R, because of the length available, and this need will vary with aircraft weight and ambient temperature.

Runway Selection Proposal

It is proposed Mode 4 be the preferred Mode during all non-curfew hours subject to weather and traffic. A change of runway will be triggered by one or a combination of three factors. These are weather, anticipated traffic demand or delay and provision of respite. Changes due to weather cannot be influenced but they can be effectively managed to ensure a smooth transition from one runway mode to another.

Traffic capacities established through Sabre modelling and operational experience will determine whether a runway configuration can handle the traffic offering. Programmed traffic, through airline schedules and aircraft flight plans, allows an assessment of anticipated aircraft delays. to be made in sufficient time to allow a managed runway change to take place.

In line with recent practice, respite triggers should also occur during the day which provide a decision point for a change to an available runway combination, subject to weather and traffic capacities, which, where possible, provides relief to areas which have been bearing the noise burden over the previous period.

On weekdays in the period 0600 to 0730 when Mode 4 cannot be used, a cross-runway Mode should be used (Modes 5, 7 or 14A). The chosen Mode should be the Mode that facilitates the change to parallel operations at a capacity trigger point occurring around 0700 and 0730.

Analysis of busy hour statistics indicates that on a majority of weekdays between 0730 and 0900 there is at least one half hour period when the number of arrivals exceed 20. This means a single arrival runway would not be able to maintain the efficiency of operation and according parallel runway would be required (noting, however that there may be occasions when in the Runway 34 direction that the shift from 25/34 operation could be delayed because of the greater arrival capacity with this Mode).

Accordingly, it is proposed that on weekdays during the period from around 0730 to 1030 (or later if traffic requires) Modes 9 or 10 be the preferred Modes.

Following this period an assessment will be made on the availability of an alternate runway configuration. This will occur around 1030, with some flexibility either side to meet a suitable point in the traffic sequence. The configuration chosen should, where possible, be an alternate to the configuration in use during the previous period. A further respite trigger will occur at around 1600 and again at 2000 and an alternate to the runway combination in use will be sought where weather and traffic conditions permit.

The afternoon weekday peak periods are not as pronounced or as regular as the morning peaks and accordingly it is not proposed to give Mode 9 and 10 preference during these periods. However, there will frequently be a requirement to use these Modes during the afternoon peaks and it is anticipated that a weather or traffic trigger will be used to bring these Modes into operation.

Runway changes for traffic reasons will be predicated on an anticipated arrival delay which exceeds 10 minutes and which is expected to remain at that level or increase during the period. This trigger is only likely to activate where the mode of operation only gives a single arrival runway (Modes 4, 5, 14A).

Runway changes brought about by departure delays will not occur until departure delays, not including normal pushback and taxiing times, will exceed 10 minutes and are expected to remain at or above that level for 30 minutes. This trigger is only likely to activate where a single departure runway is nominated (Mode 4, 7).

The following matrix shows runway options and an hierarchy for selection throughout the day. Where there is equal preference for a number of runway configurations, the selection, where possible will be an alternate to the configuration in use during the previous period. Parallel runway options, although further down the order of preference, will receive exposure through weather or capacity driven imperatives.

	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH
2300 to 0600 (Curfew)	Departures 16R Arrivals 34L	N/A	N/A	N/A
600 - 0730	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 25 2. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 07 3. Departures 25 Arrivals 34L&R	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
0730 - 1030	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only	N/A N/A
1100 - 1500	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 07 2. Departures 25 Arrivals 34L&R 3. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 25	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
1500 - 2000	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 25 Arrivals 34L&R 2. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 25 3. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 07	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
2000 - 2300*	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 25 2. Departures 16L&R Arrivals 07 3. Departures 25 Arrivals 34 L&R	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only

Note: Preference depends on wind, weather and traffic demands.

** Curfew legislation requires departures after 2245 to use Runway 16L or 16R.*

The matrix applicable on weekends deletes the period 0730 to 1030 where parallel operations are specified during the week. However, similar to afternoon peak periods mid-week, there will be times when parallel operations will need to be employed, brought on by weather or traffic triggers, particularly Sunday afternoons and/or evenings.

	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH
2300 to 0600 (Curfew)	Departures 16R Arrivals 34L	N/A	N/A	N/A
600 - 1030	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 25 2. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 07 3. Departures 25 Arrivals 34L & R	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
1030 - 1600	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 07 2. Departures 25 Arrivals 34L & R 3. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 25	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
1600 - 2000	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 34L & R 2. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 25 3. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 07	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only
2000 - 2300*	Departures 16L Arrivals 34L	1. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 25 2. Departures 16L & R Arrivals 07 3. Departures 25 Arrivals 34 L & R	34 Parallels 16 Parallels	07 only 25 only

Note: Preference depends on wind, weather and traffic demands

** Curfew legislation requires departures after 2245 to use Runway 16L or 16R.*

The monitoring arrangements proposed in Chapter 7 are designed to achieve an equitable distribution of impacts as between eastern, northern and western residential areas affected by aircraft noise. The ANEC 2 contour described in Chapter 5 is one measure of equity based on noise distribution.

Other factors that need to be taken into account are the number of flights over particular areas and the hours that individual areas will be subjected to aircraft overflights.

Sydney Airport – 270,000 Movements a Year

(Based on a 17-hour day excluding curfew period)

Figure 12

Mode	Percentage of movements	Total yearly movement	Avg mov rate per hr	Hrs per year	Hours 17 hr. day	North hours	East hours	West hours	%17hrs	***Runway availability
Mode 1	0.04	10800								
Modes 12–13	0.02	5400	29	186	0.5		0.5	0.5	3.0	74
Mode 4	0.08	21600	31	697	1.9				11.2	46
Mode 10	0.2	54000	58	931	2.6	2.6			15.0	76
Mode 9	0.27	72900	50	1473	4.0	4.0	4.0		23.7	70
*Mode 5	0.11	29700	36	837	2.3		2.3		13.5	61
*Mode 14A	0.12	32400	35	914	2.5			2.5	14.7	55
*Mode 7	0.16	43200	37	1168	3.2			3.2	18.8	50
Total	100	270000	**42	6205	17.0	6.6	6.8	6.2	100.0	
<p>* There will be some movements of long haul aircraft over the north during operation of these modes.</p> <p>** Average hourly movement rate for a 17-hour day</p> <p>*** Percentage availability of mode based on Bureau of Meteorology wind study covering 55 years (1940 to 1995), with maximum downwind component of 5 knots and maximum crosswind of 25 knots.</p>										

Figures 12 and 13 provide an indication of the potential hours that suburbs to the east, north and west will experience aircraft noise with the same level of traffic assumed in the ANBC 2 contour. Also figure 13 indicates that using Sabre capacity figures the cross runway modes have the potential to be available for substantial periods of time to permit equitable sharing of noise, even if Sydney Airport reaches 360,000 movements per annum.

Sydney Airport – 360,000 Movements a Year (Based on a 17-hour day excluding curfew period)

Figure 13

Mode	Percentage of movements	Total yearly movement	Avg mov rate per hr	Hrs per year	Hours 17 hr. day	North hours	East hours	West hours	%17hrs	***Runway availability
Mode 1	0.04	14400								
Modes 12–13	0.02	7200	33	218	0.60		0.6	0.6	3.5	74
Mode 4	0.08	28800	42	686	1.9				11.1	46
Mode 10	0.2	72000	75	960	2.6	2.6			15.5	76
Mode 9	0.27	97200	65	1489	4.1	4.1	4.1		24.0	70
*Mode 5	0.11	39600	45	880	2.4		2.4		14.2	61
*Mode 14A	0.12	43200	50	864	2.4			2.4	13.9	55
*Mode 7	0.16	57600	52	1108	3.0			3.0	17.9	50
Total	100	360000	**55.5	6205	17.0	6.7	7.1	6.0	100.0	

* There will be some movements of long haul aircraft over the north during operation of these modes.
 ** Average hourly movement rate for a 17-hour day
 *** Percentage availability of mode based on Bureau of Meteorology wind study covering 55 years (1940 to 1995), with maximum downwind component of 5 knots and maximum crosswind of 25 knots.